

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-15 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-15, 6-7, 8, 14, 15-17, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,787 to Lida.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claims 1 and 9, these claims are not anticipated by Lida. In the rejection of these claims, the Examiner broadly asserts that column 2, line 6-column 7, line 11 of Lida discloses the limitations of these claims. However, in citing such a broad passage without providing any further information, the Examiner has not specifically asserted which actions disclosed in Lida the Examiner asserts as corresponding to the method steps recited in Claims 1 and 9, and further has not specifically asserted that any of the steps of Claims 1 and 9 are disclosed in the same arrangement and combination as recited in Claims 1 and 9. More specifically, Claims 1 and 9 include the limitations of a content server receiving content information from a seller terminal, registering content as secondhand content by the content server, providing secondhand content to a buyer terminal by the content server, and transmitting sale information for the secondhand content to the seller terminal by the content server. The Examiner has failed assert what elements, if anything, in Lida that the Examiner regards as the content server, the seller terminal, and the buyer terminal, and has further failed to assert what steps performed, if any, by any elements of Lida that the Examiner regards as corresponding to the method steps of the present application.


Lida refers to a system for buying custom-compilations of media and includes no teachings related to reselling of media, registering media as secondhand content, or buying secondhand content. Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above, the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case for Anticipation. Lida does not disclose all of the limitations of independent Claims 1 and 9. Accordingly, Lida fails to anticipate Claims 1 and 9.

Regarding the §102(b) rejection of dependent Claims 2-8 and 10-15, although the Examiner generally asserts that these claims are anticipated by Lida, the Examiner's rejection includes absolutely no reference to the limitations of these claims, and provides no support for

the assertion that Lida teaches the limitations of these claims. Therefore, The Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case for Anticipation, and Lida does not disclose all of the limitations of these claims for the reasons stated above, as well as at least for the reasons stated above regarding independent Claims 1 and 9.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-2, 9, and 14-15 are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul J. Farrell", written over a horizontal line.

Paul J. Farrell  
Reg. No.: 33,494  
Attorney for Applicant

**THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, LLP**  
290 Broadhollow Road, Suite 210E  
Melville, New York 11747  
Tel: (516) 228-3565  
Fax: (516) 228-8475